

Coimisiún na Scrúduithe Stáit State Examinations Commission

Leaving Certificate 2024

Marking Scheme

Classical Studies

Ordinary Level

Online Marking Annotations

Symbol	Description	Denoting		
}	Vertical Wavy	A page/ section without candidate material has been seen by the examiner		
NR	No Response	A full question that has not been not attempted		
~	Tick (blank)	A page has been read and any candidate material has been considered towards the mark awarded for the relevant question		
×	Х	Incorrect material		
√ 5	Tick with number	The number of marks awarded (e.g. 5 marks)		
0	Zero	Zero marks awarded		
[]	Square brackets	Material counted towards each unit of development (point) for Questions 11b - 16		
P1	P1, P2, P3, P4	Each unit of development (point) awarded marks in Questions 11b-16		
Q	Q	The mark for Overall Quality in Questions 11b-16		
\rightarrow	Arrow	When a unit of development (point) continues onto an additional or supplementary page in Questions 11b-16		
K	К	The mark awarded for the RSR Knowledge Descriptor		
A	А	The mark awarded for the RSR Analysis Descriptor		
E	E The mark awarded for the RSR Eviden			
OC	OC	The mark awarded for the RSR Overall Coherence Descriptor		

Research Study Report 2024: Ordinary Level Marking Scheme

Section A	Good engagement	Basic engagement		
Rationale & Approach	6 – 10	0-5		
	Outline of project aim	 Attempt made to outline aim, lir 	with prescribed topic, or gives an	
	Link with prescribed topic	overview of research approach/	sources	
	Overview of research approach and/or			
	sources			
	**All three points not required for full marks			
(10 marks)				
Section B	Thorough	Basic	Very Basic	
Extended Essay -	16 – 20	9 – 15	0 – 8	
Knowledge/	Summary of accurate knowledge that is in-	Includes knowledge that	Contains only generic or superficial	
Understanding	depth or wide ranging, but at times lacks	indicates attempts at research,	information related to chosen topic,	
	relevance to chosen topic.	but has inconsistencies in	prescribed topic, or field of Classics	
	Demonstrates a very good understanding of	accuracy or relevance to chosen	Does not convey an overall	
	the chosen topic.	topic	understanding of the chosen topic	
		Demonstrates a good		
		understanding of the chosen		
(22		topic at times		
(20 marks)				
Section B	Thorough	Basic	Very Basic	
Extended Essay -	16 – 20	9 – 15	0 – 8	
Analysis/	Analysis is logically argued with developed	Analysis is generic and lacks development	Attempts at analysis, though mostly	
Critical thinking	Critical thinking points		unsuccessful	
Demonstrates good to very good critical		Demonstrates critical thinking	Does not engage with	
thinking skills		skills at times	analysis/critical thinking	
(20 marks)				
(20 marks)	Theorems	Doo! o	Var. Basia	
Section B	Thorough 16 – 20	Basic 9 – 15	Very Basic 0 – 8	
Extended Essay - Evidence/ Research		<u> </u>		
• Sources included as part of discussion / development of points, where relevant (20 marks)		Sources referred to in essay,	Sources included only in hibliography	
		where appropriate bibliography		
(20 Illaiks)				

Research Study Report 2024: Ordinary Level Marking Scheme

Section C	Good engagement	Basic engagement		
Review & Reflection	6 – 10	0 – 5		
	Assessment of project	Attempt made to review research/ writing process or reflect on learning		
	Reflection on significance of research / project			
	Self-reflection on research / writing process			
	**All three points not required for full marks			
	NB: 10 marks, requires specific points related to			
(10 marks)	project			

Overall Coherence	Mostly coherent	Lacking coherence	Incoherent	
Communication &	16 – 20	9 – 15	0 – 8	
Structure	 Generally, well presented, with an overarching structure and language that is easy to follow, though could be edited to improve its overall coherence. 	 At times well presented, with attempts made to apply some structure and an overarching train of thought 	 Poorly presented and lacking in structure to such an extent that the aim of the RSR is not communicated. 	
(20 marks)	 RSR aim partially achieved. Satisfies the criteria as stated in the 2024 RSR Brief. 	 Requires more research and drafting to achieve RSR aim. Satisfies the criteria as stated in the 2024 RSR Brief. 	 Does not satisfy the criteria as stated in the 2024 RSR Brief. 	

To be noted by examiner:

- Read everything in the RSR before marking anything.
- Be careful not to penalise skilful brevity, nor to reward unwarranted length.

Marking steps:

- 1) Read the full RSR
- 2) Re-read and mark each section of the RSR: Section A, Section B, Section C and Overall Coherence.

Section A: 300 marks

- 1. 30 marks
 - (a) 10 marks, 2 marks each
 - (b) 10 marks, banded:
 - **1-5**: very basic/basic (general); **6-10**: partial/full (specific details).
 - (c) 10 marks, 2 marks name of god/goddess, 2 marks name of text, 6 marks role (1-3 general comment; 4-6 specific role in text).
- 2. 30 marks
- (a) 10 marks, 1 mark for each feature, 1-4 marks for each description (based on level of detail)
- (b) 8 marks, 2 marks for each fact
- (c) 12 marks, banded:
 - **1-6**: very basic; **7-10**: basic/incomplete; **11-12**: partial/full (based on level of detail, amount of evidence, quality of reasons)
 - <u>Indicative Material</u>: religious or civic importance of temple; sense of community, festivals, at least one temple must be referenced for full marks.
- 3. 30 marks
 - (a) 1-4 marks (based on level of detail): any one valid point
 - **(b)** 2 marks **(1+1)**: Corinthian Women or Greek sailors; also accept perspective of audience/community.
 - (c) 12 marks, banded:
 - **1-6**: very basic; **7-10**: basic/incomplete; **11-12**: partial/full (based on level of detail, amount of evidence, quality of analysis/development)
 - <u>Indicative Material</u>: sense of one voice is powerful, remain on stage for most of the play, group of masks is dramatic; tension that they cannot change the outcome of the play; makes audience feel they are part of performance, address key questions, dance and sing.
 - (d) 12 marks, banded:
 - **1-6**: very basic; **7-10**: basic/incomplete; **11-12**: partial/full (based on level of detail, amount of evidence, quality of reasons)
 - <u>Indicative Material</u>: narrator/ commentator of TV shows/ sports matches; chorus in a musical/ panto production.

4. 30 marks

- (a) 6 marks, 2 marks each valid point.
- (b) 9 marks, banded:
 - **1-5**: basic (general); **6-10** good (specific) or 2 points
 - <u>Indicative Material:</u> enjoyable/ captivating staging, actors, storyline, sets, music, live performance; communal/ thought-provoking – catharsis, reflect on human condition, current issues.
- (c) 15 marks, banded:
 - **1-6**: very basic; **7-11**: basic/incomplete; **12-15**: partial/full (based on level of detail, quality of description, may include explanation of dramatic impact though not required)
 - <u>Indicative Material:</u> masks and costumes, deux ex machina, nurse and messenger speech, ekkyklema, stichomachia, choral ode.

5. 30 marks

- (a) 10 marks, banded:
 - 1-5: very basic/basic (general); 6-10: partial/full (specific details).
- (b) 5 marks, any valid point, fully correct, or 2 marks for an inaccurate or partially valid.
- (c) 15 marks, banded 1-6: very basic; 7-11: basic/incomplete; 12-15: partial/full (based on level of detail quality of description)
 - <u>Indicative Material:</u> Circe and trip to the Underworld, Athene, Ino and gift of the veil, Eurycleia and keeps disguise, Penelope keeping order in Ithaca.

6. 30 marks

- (a) 10 marks, banded:
 - **1-5**: very basic/basic (general); **6-10**: partial/full (specific details, use of evidence/ link to 'powerful').
- **(b)** 10 marks, banded:
 - 1-5: very basic/basic (general); 6-10: partial/full (specific points/ quality of understanding).
 - <u>Indicative Material:</u> key moment in Trojans decision to bring horse inside; connection between priest and gods; taken as an omen.

(c) 10 marks, banded:

- **1-5**: very basic/basic (general); **6-10**: partial/full (specific points, level of detail, quality of information)
 - <u>Indicative Material:</u> Priam's death, Sinon's deception, dream of Hector, Creusa ghost, Aeneas almost kills Helen, Anchises omen about Ascanius and Troy burning.

7. 30 marks

(a) 12 marks, banded:

- **1-6**: very basic; **7-10**: basic/incomplete; **11-12**: partial/full (quality of analysis/ use of evidence from Text A and Text B).
 - <u>Indicative Material</u>: big change in tone from beginning to end; from love to hate.

(b) 8 marks, banded:

- **1-4**: very basic/basic (general); **5-8**: partial/full (specific details, link to wider context of *Aeneid*).
 - <u>Indicative Material:</u> Duty to Rome, stayed in Carthage making Dido think he would stay, Dido's role as Queen before Aeneas arrived, role of gods/fate.
 - Blame can be one sided, must be supported by reference not simply personal response.

(c) 10 marks, 2 marks each.

8. 30 marks

- (a) 6 marks, 2 marks each
- (b) 12 marks, 2 marks for name of poem + 10 marks banded for discussion:
 - **1-5**: very basic/basic (general); **6-10**: partial/full (specific points, quality of analysis/ use of examples from text)
 - <u>Indicative Material:</u> Language, message(s), connection, direct referencing needed for top marks but quotation not essential for a well-developed answered references scenes/messages from chosen poem.
- (c) 12 marks, 2 marks for key message + 10 marks banded for explanation:
- **1-5**: very basic/basic (general); **6-10**: partial/full (specific points, quality of analysis/ use of examples from text)
 - <u>Indicative Material:</u> Key Message duty to one's-beliefs, duty of citizen to follow the law, good life means doing what is just.

9. 30 marks

- (a) 8 marks, banded:
 - **1-4**: very basic/basic (general); **5-8**: partial/full (specific details, link to wider context of biography vs history).
- (b) 15 marks, banded:
 - **1-6**: very basic; **7-11**: basic/incomplete; **12-15**: partial/full (based on level of detail, amount of knowledge/ quality of understanding)
- (c) 4 marks: any one valid point
- (d) 3 marks, 1 mark each

10. 30 marks

- (a) 10 marks, banded:
 - **1-5**: very basic/basic (general); **6-10**: partial/full (specific points, level of detail, quality of explanation/ link to statement)
 - <u>Indicative Material:</u> Discussion of how man's character or candidate's opinion of character relates to what they do as a leader/ person with power.
- (b) 20 marks, 1-6 marks and 1-4 marks for Alexander; 1-6 marks and 1-4 marks for Caesar
 - based on selection of relevant examples and level of detail given

Section B: 100 marks

Question 11: 50 marks

- (a) 20 marks, 5 marks each term in (i) or (ii)
 - any valid term or accurate description of architectural element, e.g.
 - (i) arch, arcade, velarium, arena, emperor's box, etc.
 - (ii) spina, obelisk, carceres, turning post, etc.
- **(b)** 50 marks

Each of these questions (11bi, 11bii, 11bii) has the same marking scheme, which is divided between two components:

- Development of material required to fully answer the question (20 marks)
- Overall quality of the answer (10 marks)

Development of Material (20 marks)

- 10 marks for each unit of development
- Features of a unit of development: candidate makes relevant **point**, includes relevant evidence to support the point (**knowledge**/understanding) and makes some development of the point (**analysis**/elaboration/discussion).
- Each unit of development is marked based on the level of development:
 - 8-10 marks for a developed point
 - 5-7 marks for a basic point
 - 1-4 marks for a very basic point

Overall Quality (10 marks)

• Overall engagement with question and overall understanding of associated material

8-10 marks: Good Quality

• Focuses on the question; points are structured and supported by relevant and accurate evidence.

1-7 marks: Low Quality

 Limited engagement with the question; attempt to make points, but there is a lack of evidence/examples to support points made; relies mostly on narrative.

Questions 12 - 16: 50 marks

Each of these questions has the same marking scheme, which is divided between two components:

- Development of material required to fully answer the question (30 marks)
- Overall quality of the answer (20 marks)

NB: Subdivided questions, such as Question 13 in this examination paper:

- Answer must address the full question (parts a and b), for more than one unit of development to be included in the marks for Development of Material
- Answer must address the full question (parts a and b), for its Overall Quality to be considered above the Low Quality range
- Material included in each part can be awarded to either part, where relevant, but in such a way so that it is not counted twice.

Development of Material (30 marks)

- Features of a unit of development: candidate makes relevant **point**, includes relevant evidence to support the point (**knowledge**/understanding) and makes some development of the point (**analysis**/elaboration/discussion).
- Indicative structure of units: (15 + 15), (10+10+10), (8+8+7+7)
- Each unit of development is marked based on the level of development:
 - 11-15 marks for a well-developed point
 - **8-10 marks** for a developed point
 - 1-7 marks for a basic point

Overall Quality (20 marks)

Overall engagement with question and overall understanding of associated material

15-20 marks: Good Quality

• Focuses on the question; points are structured and supported by relevant and accurate evidence.

1-14 marks: Low Quality

 Limited engagement with the question; attempt to make points, but there is a lack of evidence/examples to support points made; relies mostly on narrative.

Marks	Descriptors for overall marks awarded to Questions 12-16
41-50	Demonstrates a good knowledge of the material, but not all is relevant. Selects very good evidence to support their points.
Very Good/ Full	Addresses the question asked, but not always in a sustained and coherent manner. Most ideas and/or points are purposeful and relevant.
31-40	Demonstrates a good knowledge of the material, but some is not relevant. Selects good evidence, but not all is supportive of their points.
Good/	Some aspects of the question are not addressed.
Partial	Some ideas and/or points are purposeful and relevant.
21-30	Demonstrates some knowledge of the material, but many details are irrelevant. Selects evidence, though does not adequately support points or opinions.
Basic	Many aspects of the question are not addressed.
	Few ideas and/or points are purposeful and relevant.
1-20	Demonstrates limited knowledge or understanding of the material. Selects few/no evidence to support points.
Very Basic	Most or all aspects of the question are not addressed.
very busic	Most or all ideas and/or points lack purpose and relevance.

